## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA SOUTHERN DIVISION

MARY CATHERINE BJORUM,  Plaintiff,	
vs. McDONALD'S USA, LLC,	COMPLAINT With Jury Trial Demanded
Defendant.	

Plaintiff Mary Catherine Bjorum brings this action for sex discrimination in employment in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e *et seq.*, stating the following claims against the Defendant:

### PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Mary Catherine Bjorum ("Bjorum") is a resident of Minnehaha County, South Dakota.
- 2. McDonald's USA, LLC ("McDonald's USA") is a subsidiary of McDonald's Corporation.
- 3. McDonald's USA is a Delaware corporation doing business as a franchisor which provides support for franchisees of McDonald's restaurants.
- 4. This action for sexual discrimination arises under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq. ("Title VII"). As such, this Court has original jurisdiction to hear this Complaint and to adjudicate the claims stated herein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
  - 5. At all times relevant, McDonald's USA was an "employer" within the meaning

of 42 U.S.C. § 2000e(b).

- 6. At all relevant times, Bjorum was an "employee" of McDonald's USA within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 2000e(f).
- 7. During her employment with McDonald's USA, Bjorum's job role was as a field-based employee for the Denver Field Office, and she primarily worked from the Sioux Falls area.
- 8. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because the events or omissions giving rise to her claims occurred within the Southern Division of the District of South Dakota.
- 9. Following her termination from employment on November 5, 2021, Bjorum timely filed a Charge of Discrimination with the EEOC alleging sex discrimination and retaliation in violation of Title VII.
- 10. Bjorum exhausted her administrative remedies and received a Right to Sue Notice from the EEOC dated July 13, 2022.

#### FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 11. McDonald's USA has a written employment policy which prohibits sex discrimination, and states that the employer will not tolerate sex discrimination.
- 12. In April 2018, McDonald's USA hired Bjorum in the role of an Operations Associate, with job duties that included providing franchisee support and evaluations for McDonald's branded restaurants in Minnesota, South Dakota, and North Dakota.
- 13. McDonald's USA required Bjorum to travel to franchised restaurants and to various franchisee and staff meetings in order to perform essential functions of her position.
  - 14. Bjorum's direct supervisor was Diana Thurlo.

- 15. Bjorum performed the essential functions of her job in a manner that met McDonald's expectations.
- 16. McDonald's USA rewarded Bjorum with positive performance reviews, and with salary increases and bonuses.
- 17. McDonald's USA did not subject Bjorum to any disciplinary actions prior to her termination.
- 18. During her employment, Bjorum at all times abided by McDonald's USA Covid-19 protocols.
- 19. During her employment, Bjorum at all times abided by McDonald's USA travel policies.
- 20. During her employment, Bjorum observed that her direct supervisor seemed to have a preference for male employees, including in supporting males for promotional opportunities and in her disciplinary actions and performance expectations for women.
- 21. In the Spring of 2021, a number of McDonald's USA employees flew to meetings on private plane flights provided by franchisees in order to complete McDonald's business objectives, such as to attend meetings with franchisees and to conduct restaurant support visits.
- 22. In April 2021, Bjorum flew on a private plane flight provided by a franchisee for the sole purpose of completing work-related meetings.
- 23. Bjorum's supervisors were aware of her April 2021 travel plans and did not object or otherwise address her travel to this business-related meeting before or after it occurred.
- 24. On or about October 26, 2021, Bjorum attended a meeting in Minneapolis for an East Side Denver Field Office meeting with her supervisor and other co-workers.

- 25. At the October 26 meeting, Bjorum expressed to Thurlo and a co-worker that she was glad to be able to have their team meet in person. Thurlo responded to Bjorum's comment by saying: "Look around the room. There are too many women. We need to fill the open position with diversity."
- 26. On or about November 5, 2021, Thurlo and a McDonald's human resources staff member summoned Bjorum to a meeting and announced that Bjorum was fired.
- 27. Thurlo stated that Bjorum was being terminated for violating the McDonald's travel policy, but did not explain how Bjorum was in violation of the policy or why similarly situated male employees who had taken similar business-related trips were still employed.
- 28. To the best of her knowledge, McDonald's USA advertised to fill Bjorum's position, but it remains unfilled since Bjorum's Charge of Discrimination was filed.

# COUNT ONE Sex Discrimination in Violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964

- 29. The Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs by reference.
- 30. 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)(l) provides that it is unlawful for an employer "to fail or refuse to hire or to discharge any individual, or to otherwise discriminate against any individual with respect to [her] compensation, terms, conditions, or privileges of employment, because of such individual's ... sex[.]"
- 31. The Defendant treated the Plaintiff differently and less favorably in the terms and conditions of her employment compared to similarly situated male employees, including in the terms of her travel terms, promotional opportunities, performance expectations and in disciplinary actions.
  - 32. The Defendant terminated the Plaintiff's employment.

- 33. The Plaintiff's sex played a part in the Defendant's employment decisions affecting the Plaintiff, including its decision to terminate her employment.
- 34. The Defendant's stated reason for the Plaintiff's termination was not the real reason for the Defendant's termination decision.
  - 35. The Defendant's conduct described herein violates 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2.
- 36. As a result of the Defendant's conduct, the Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer past and present loss of income, mental anguish, emotional distress, humiliation, embarrassment, loss of reputation and other damages.
- 37. The Defendant's conduct and decisions described above were made with malice, or reckless or deliberate disregard for the Plaintiff's legal rights.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Mary Catherine Bjorum prays for judgment against McDonald's LLC, USA as follows:

- A. That the practices of Defendant complained of in this Complaint be determined to violate the rights secured to the Plaintiff under Title VII;
- B. For all relief available to the Plaintiff, including compensatory relief and damages arising from loss of past and future income, benefits, emotional distress, and other damages, with interest on such amounts;
- C. For punitive damages if allowed;
- D. For the Plaintiffs attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements incurred;
- E. For a jury trial on all issues; and
- F. For such further and other relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

Dated this  $7^{th}$  day of October, 2022.

JOHNSON POCHOP & BARTLING LAW OFFICE, LLP

Stephanie E. Pochop 405 Main St. | P.O. Box 149 Gregory, SD 57533 (605) 835-8391 Stephanie@Rosebudlawyers.com

&

Gavin D. Pochop
4201 S. Minnesota Ave., #113
Sioux Falls, SD 57105
(605) 835-8391
Gavin@Rosebudlawyers.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Mary Catherine Bjorum

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of inteating the civit de	EKELSHEEL (ABB NV31 KUC.	HONS ON WEAT PAGE O	THE PO	MAL								
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANT	'S							
Mary Catherine Bjorum				McDonalds USA								
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, 2) Stephanie E. Poct	First Listed Plaintiff MCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAN Address, and Telephone Number Top   Johnson Pochop PO Box 149 Gregory, S	y & Bartling		County of Residence NOTE: IN LAND OF THE TRACE Attorneys (If Known	(IN U.S. I CONDEMNAT CT OF LAND I	<i>LAINTIFF CASES O</i> ION CASES, USE TI						
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF	PRINCIP	AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in	One Box j	for Plaintiff			
U.S. Government Plaintiff	☑ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Vot a Party)			) PTF DEF SX 1 © 1	Incorporated <i>or</i> Pri of Business In T		or Defende PTF (J 4	lant) DEF O 4			
2 U.S. Government     Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item 111)	Citiz	en of Another State	O 2 O 2	Incorporated and F of Business In A		CJ 5	<b>23</b> 5			
				en or Subject of a reign Country	C 3 C 3	Foreign Nation		<u> </u>	D 6			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)												
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Stander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVILERIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	PERSONAL INJUR  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPEH  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETETION  Habeas Corpus:  463 Alien Detainee  510 Motions to Vacate Sentence  530 General  535 Death Penalty Other:  540 Mandamus & Oth  550 Civil Rights  555 Prison Condition  560 Civil Detainee Conditions of	Y	25 Drug Related Scizure of Property 21 USC 881 20 Other  LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 10 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 20 Other Labor Litigation 21 Employee Retirement Income Security Act  LIMMIGRATION 52 Naturalization Applicati 55 Other Immigration Actions	C   422 App   C   423 Will   28   28   28   28   28   28   28	USC 157  CRTY-RIGHTS  prights  inf  lemark  L-SECURIFFY  (1395ff)  kt Lung (923)  VC/DLWW (405(g))  D Title XVI	480 Consum   490 Cable/S   850 Securiti   Exchan   890 Other S   891 Agricul   893 Enviror   895 Freedon   Act   896 Arbitral	laims Act eapportion st and Bankin ree tition oer Influer Organiza ner Credit lat TV les/Comm age tatatatory A tural Acts mental M m of Infor strative P view or A Decision utionality	inment ing inced and ations indities/ Actions s fatters conation			
	noved from	Appellate Court	Reo	pened Anot (speci		☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation						
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON  Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 42 USC § 2000e  Brief description of ca Sex discrimination		re ming (a the Civ	Do not cite jurisdictional s il Rights Act of 196	adutes untess o 64, as amer	uversity): nded)						
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	•	EMAND \$ \$75,000+		CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:		complai				
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCK	ET NUMBER						
DATE 10/7/22		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD	**************************************							
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				· —								

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

RECEIPT#

AMOUNT